

APPENDIX C
Institutional Survey
For Use in Preparing the Institutional Report

Office of Postsecondary Education
U.S. Department of Education

Annual Institutional Questionnaire on Teacher Preparation: Academic year: 2005-2006

Institution name: University of Denver
Respondent name and title: Richard L. Biffle, Director
Respondent phone number: (303) 871-2519 Fax: (303) 871-3422
Electronic mail address: rbiffle@du.edu
Address: 2135 E. Wesley Ave.

City: Denver State: CO Zip code: 80208

Section 207 of Title II of the Higher Education Act mandates that the Department of Education collect data on state assessments, other requirements, and standards for teacher certification and licensure, as well as data on the performance of teacher preparation programs. The law requires the Secretary to use these data in submitting an annual report on the quality of teacher preparation to the Congress. The first Secretarial report is due April 7, 2002. Annual state reports to the Secretary are first due on October 7, 2001. Data from institutions with teacher preparation programs are due to states annually, beginning April 7, 2001, for use by states in preparing annual report cards to the Secretary.

Paperwork Burden Statement

This is a required data collection. Response is not voluntary. According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 1840-0744 (expiration date: 6/30/2009). The time required for institutions to complete this information collection is estimated to average 69 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, please write to: U.S. Department of Education, Washington, DC 20202-4651. If you have comments or concerns regarding the status of your individual submission of this form, write directly to: Assistant Secretary, Office of Postsecondary Education, U.S. Department of Education, 1990 K Street, NW, Room 7115, Washington, DC 20006.

Note: The procedures for developing the information required for these tables are explained in the *Higher Education Act, Title II: Reporting Reference and User Manual*. Terms and phrases in this questionnaire are defined in the glossary, appendix B of the manual.

Section I. Pass rates.

Please provide the information in the attached Institutional Report Tables C1, C2, C1a and C2a on the performance of completers of the teacher preparation program in your institution on teacher certification/licensure assessments used by your state. This information will be provided to your institution by the state or the testing company.

Table C1: Single-Assessment Institution-Level Pass-rate Data: Regular Teacher Preparation Program, 2005-2006

Institution Name: University of Denver					
Academic year: 2005-2006					
Number of program completers: 65					
Type of Assessment	Assessment Code Number	# taking assess.	# passing assess.	Institut. pass rate	Statewide pass rate
<i>Basic Skills</i>					
.....					
<i>Professional Knowledge</i>					
.....					
<i>Academic Content Areas (math, English, biology etc.)</i>					
Assessment 1	04	1	1	100%	
Assessment 2	05	2	2	100%	
Assessment 3	06	3	3	100%	
Assessment 4	07	6	6	100%	
Assessment 5	08	1	1	100%	
Assessment 6	09	1	1	100%	
Assessment 7	28	8	8	100%	
Assessment 8	29	1	1	100%	
<i>Other Content Areas (elementary education, career/technical education, health education, etc.)</i>					
Assessment 1	01	12	12	100%	
Assessment 2					
Assessment 3					
.....					
<i>Teaching Special Populations (special education, ESL etc.)</i>					
Assessment 1					
Assessment 2					
.....					
<i>Performance Assessments</i>					

Table C2: Aggregate And Summary Institution-Level Pass-rate Data: Regular Teacher Preparation Program, 2005-2006

Institution Name: University of Denver				
Academic year: 2005-2006				
Total number of program completers: 65				
Type of Assessment	# taking assess	# passing assess	Institut. pass rate	Statewide pass rate
<i>Aggregate: Basic Skills*</i>				
<i>Aggregate: Professional Knowledge*</i>				
<i>Aggregate: Academic Content Areas (math, English, biology etc.)*</i>	23	23	100%	
<i>Aggregate: Other Content Areas (elementary education, career/technical education, health education, etc.)*</i>	12	12	100%	
<i>Aggregate: Teaching Special Populations (special education, ESL,..)*</i>				
<i>Performance Assessments*</i>				
<i>Summary of Individual Assessments**</i>	35	35	100%	
<p><i>*Aggregate pass rate – Numerator: Number who passed all the tests they took in a category (and within their area of specialization). Denominator: Number of completers who took one or more test in a category (and within their area of specialization).</i></p> <p><i>**Summary pass rate – Numerator: Number who passed all the tests they took within their area of specialization. Denominator: Number of completers who took one or more tests used by the state (and within their area of specialization).</i></p>				

**Table C1a: Single-Assessment Institution-Level Pass-rate Data: Regular Teacher Preparation Program,
2002-2003 Third Year Cohort Update**

Institution Name: University of Denver					
Academic year: 2002-2003					
Number of program completers: 69					
Type of Assessment	Assessment Code Number	# taking assess.	# passing assess.	Institut. pass rate	Statewide pass rate
<i>Basic Skills</i>					
<i>Professional Knowledge</i>					
<i>Academic Content Areas (math, English, biology etc.)</i>					
Assessment 1	04	3	3	100%	
Assessment 2	05	5	4	80%	
Assessment 3	06	8	8	100%	
Assessment 4	07	8	8	100%	
Assessment 5	028	2	2	100%	
Assessment 6	029	2	2	100%	
<i>Other Content Areas (elementary education, career/technical education, health education, etc.)</i>					
Assessment 1	01	34	34	100%	
Assessment 2					
Assessment 3					
.....					
<i>Teaching Special Populations (special education, ESL etc.)</i>					
Assessment 1	020	7	7	100%	
Assessment 2					
.....					
<i>Performance Assessments</i>					

Table C2a: Aggregate And Summary Institution-Level Pass-rate Data: Regular Teacher Preparation Program, 2002-2003 Cohort Update

Institution Name: University of Denver				
Academic year: 2002-2003				
Number of program completers: 69				
Type of Assessment	# taking assess	# passing assess	Institut. pass rate	Statewide pass rate
<i>Aggregate: Basic Skills*</i>				
<i>Aggregate: Professional Knowledge*</i>				
<i>Aggregate: Academic Content Areas (math, English, biology etc.)*</i>	28	27	96%	
<i>Aggregate: Other Content Areas (elementary education, career/technical education, health education, etc.)*</i>	34	34	100%	
<i>Aggregate: Teaching Special Populations (special education, ESL,..)*</i>				
	7	7	100%	
<i>Performance Assessments*</i>				
<i>Summary of Individual Assessments**</i>	69	68	99%	
<p><i>*Aggregate pass rate – Numerator: Number who passed all the tests they took in a category (and within their area of specialization). Denominator: Number of completers who took one or more test in a category (and within their area of specialization).</i></p> <p><i>**Summary pass rate – Numerator: Number who passed all the tests they took within their area of specialization. Denominator: Number of completers who took one or more tests used by the state (and within their area of specialization).</i></p>				

Program completers for whom information should be provided are those completing program requirements in the most recent academic year. Thus, for institutional reports due to the state by April 7, 2007, the relevant information is for those completing program requirements in academic year 2005-2006. For purposes of this report, program completers do not include those who have completed an alternative route to certification or licensure as defined by the state.

The assessments to be included are the ones taken by these completers up to 5 years before their completion of program requirements, or up to 3 years afterward. (Please note that in 3 years institutions will report final pass rates that include an update on this cohort of completers; the update will reflect scores reported after the test closure date.) See manual pages 5 and 6.

In cases where a program completer has taken a given assessment more than once, the highest score on that test must be used. There must be at least 10 program completers taking the same assessment in an academic year for data on that assessment to be reported; for aggregate or summary data, there must also be at least 10 program completers (although not necessarily taking the same assessment) for data to be reported.

Section II. Program information.

(A) Number of students in the regular teacher preparation program at your institution:

Please specify the number of students in your teacher preparation program during academic year 2005-2006, including all areas of specialization.

1. Total number of students enrolled during 2005-2006: 71

(B) Information about supervised student teaching:

2. How many students (in the regular program and any alternative route programs) were in programs of supervised student teaching during academic year 2005-2006? 70

3. Please provide the numbers of supervising faculty who were:

 Appointed full-time faculty in professional education: an individual who works full time in a school, college, or department of education, and spends at least part of the time in supervision of teacher preparation students.

 Appointed part-time faculty in professional education and full-time in the institution: any full time faculty member in the institution who also may be supervising or teaching in the teacher preparation program.

 9 Appointed part-time faculty in professional education, not otherwise employed by the institution: may be part time university faculty or pre-K-12 teachers who supervise prospective teachers. The numbers do not include K-12 teachers who simply receive a stipend for supervising student teachers. Rather, this third category is intended to reflect the growing trend among institutions of higher education to appoint K-12 teachers as clinical faculty, with the rights and responsibilities of the institution's regular faculty.

Supervising faculty for purposes of this data collection includes all persons who the institution regards as having faculty status and who were assigned by the teacher preparation program to provide supervision and evaluation of student teaching, with an administrative link or relationship to the teacher preparation program.

Total number of supervising faculty for the teacher preparation program during 2005-2006:
___9___

4. The student/faculty ratio was (divide the total given in B2. by the number given in B3.):
_8:1_____

5. The average number of hours per week required of student participation in supervised student teaching in these programs was: ___40___ hours. The total number of weeks of supervised student teaching required is _13____. The total number of hours required is ___520___ hours.

(C) Information about state approval or accreditation of teacher preparation programs:

6. Is your teacher preparation program currently approved or accredited by the state?
__X__ Yes ____ No

7. Is your teacher preparation program currently under a designation as “low-performing” by the state (as per section 208 (a) of the HEA of 1998)? ____ Yes __X__ No

NOTE: See appendix A of the manual for the legislative language referring to “low-performing” programs.

Section III. Contextual information (optional).

Please use this space to provide any additional information that describes your teacher preparation program(s). You may also attach information to this questionnaire.

Please NOTE: All DU Teacher Education Program applicants are required to pass either the state approved PLACE or Praxis II content area exam as part of the application process. This report documents that 35 DU TEP participants for 2005-2006 passed the approved PLACE exam and 36 passed an approved Praxis II exam. Since this report only records PLACE exam results, the data in this report can not accurately reflect the pass-rate percentage for DU TEP participants.

Section IV. Certification.

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, the information in this report is accurate and complete and conforms to the definitions and instructions used in the *Higher Education Act, Title II: Reporting Reference and User Manual*.

_____ (Signature)

_____ Name of responsible institutional representative
for teacher preparation program

_____ Title

Certification of review of submission:

_____ (Signature)

_____ Name of President/Chief Executive (or designee)

_____ Title