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Introduction

Burma, also known as Myanmar, is governed by a repressive dictatorship that is guilty of numerous human rights abuses. Political prisoners, oppressed women and ethnic minorities, and child soldiers are examples of human rights violations in Burma. Burma’s government benefits from its relationship with China, whose support for the dictatorship is motivated by its own economic and strategic interests. The United States and its Western allies must alter their existing Burma policy, which has been part of the problem, in order to help end the suffering of the Burmese people.

China’s Support for Burma’s Repressive Dictatorship

Extent of Relationship between China and Burma

China is Burma’s most significant supporter. The two governments maintain diplomatic contacts at the highest levels, and Burma is home to more than one million Chinese nationals. China is also Burma’s largest source of investment and trade. No fewer than sixty-nine Chinese companies are engaged in at least ninety energy-related projects in Burma (EarthRights International 2008). Moreover, China has given Burma $2 billion in military aid; it has provided military advisors and is actively training Burmese soldiers. China’s military presence in Burma represents its most significant presence in a foreign country since the Vietnam War. The strong Chinese presence in Burma indicates how important this country is to the Chinese Communist Party (CCP).

Why Relations with Burma are Important to China

The CCP’s support for Burma is motivated by its urgent need for energy to supply its rapidly growing economy. The CCP realizes that its survival depends on its ability to maintain China’s growth, which has lifted hundreds of millions out of poverty in recent decades. Consequently, the CCP is willing to subordinate what it views as abstract notions of human rights to its primary goals of domestic growth and stability.

China’s growth means increasing energy demands; therefore, a central CCP objective is locating new sources of energy. China and Burma have agreed to a pipeline deal that will bring oil from Burma’s coast to China. The pipeline will end Chinese dependence on the Malacca Strait route, which is dominated by India’s navy and lies between China and its primary source of oil—the Persian Gulf. The CCP’s goal of national development drives China’s pursuit of energy in Burma.

Human Rights Abuses in Burma

Driven by self-interest, China has developed close relations with Burma’s government despite the latter’s many human rights abuses. There are about 2250 political prisoners in Burma, largely due to the government’s ban on peaceful protest. This ban has figured prominently in recent years due to the government’s response to two events: an anti-government protest in 2007 and a devastating cyclone in 2008 (Mathieson 2009).
First, the government violently repressed protests led by thousands of Buddhist monks, who are revered in Burma, leaving dozens of people dead, more injured, and many in prison. Second, the government rejected foreign aid directed toward Cyclone Nargis’s victims, and even imprisoned Burmese citizens who tried to provide aid. For example, popular Burmese comedian Zarganar was sentenced to forty-five years in prison for spearheading efforts to raise money for cyclone victims. Furthermore, the government conditioned what little aid it did provide on whether or not would-be recipients voted for its constitution.

The most well-known political prisoner in Burma, Aung San Suu Kyi, the 2009 Amnesty International Ambassador of Conscience Award winner, has been detained fourteen of the last twenty years. First imprisoned in July 1989, following the government’s crackdown on peaceful pro-democracy protests, Aung San Suu Kyi had her sentence, scheduled to expire in May 2009, extended another eighteen months by the government after an uninvited American stranger appeared at her residence earlier that month.

Other human rights problems in Burma include the oppression of women and ethnic minorities. Burma’s military uses rape and other sexual crimes against women as a weapon of war. Moreover, many displaced Burmese women end up as sex slaves in neighboring Thailand. Women who are members of Burma’s ethnic minorities are especially vulnerable. The men and children are not much better off, as ethnic minorities in general are targeted by Burma’s military. For example, researchers found that the mortality rate of ethnic Karens was twice that found in an average developing country (Checchi et al. 2003).

Finally, with 75,000 child soldiers, Burma’s military junta has been identified as the world’s largest employer of child soldiers (Singer 2005: 27). Through abduction and brainwashing—and under the threat of death—these innocent children are forced to wage war against their fellow citizens.

China’s View of Sovereignty and its Support for Burma

Despite these abuses, China continues to support Burma, as the CCP does not think that foreign governments should interfere in the internal affairs of a sovereign nation. This view of sovereignty leads China to protect Burma in the United Nations Security Council. In 2007, China (along with Russia) vetoed a UN resolution, which it had twice previously kept off the UN ballot that condemned Burma’s government and called for it to end human rights abuses. China’s stringent view of sovereignty largely stems from its fear that other global powers might one day intervene in Chinese territory. China opposes almost any intervention in other parts of the world—even in the case of massive human rights violations—due to the precedent such intervention might set.

Western Policy Impact on China’s Role in Burma

Impact of Past Policies

China’s support for Burma’s repressive government undermines Western policies aimed at promoting human rights in other countries. However, at least part of the blame may lie with the policies themselves. One reason China and Burma have developed close ties is that, by seeking to isolate and punish the Burmese government, the West has ostracized Burma and left it nowhere else to turn.
Similarly, China’s willingness to support Burma may have roots in certain US actions. The Sino-Burma relationship deepened after two important developments. First, “color revolutions” occurred in multiple countries in the former Soviet Union. China perceived the United States to be an important catalyst in these revolutions. Secondly, US foreign policy became more unilateralist and anti-China during the first term of the George W. Bush administration.

Because of these two developments, the CCP felt compelled to find allies wherever it could. China’s leadership was especially worried about nations on their borders—such as Burma. If Burma was drawn too close to the West, then a “color revolution” could be fomented there. Moreover, political change in Burma could inspire similar changes, not only on its borders, but also within China’s autonomous regions. Such fears strengthened the hand of China’s hard-liners, who advocated support for Burma’s dictatorship.

Adding credence to this argument are China’s actions in the last two years. After the United States decreased its hostility toward China and began to encourage China to become a “responsible stakeholder” in the international system, China showed more willingness to cooperate with the West. Realizing that unconditional support for dictatorships may not be in its long-term interest, China became less willing to turn a blind eye to repression in Burma. In response to the new US approach, China condemned human rights violations in Burma and even met with Burma’s anti-government, pro-democracy opposition.

Recommendations for Future Policy

The failure of the West’s Burma policy suggests the need for a new approach. First, the United States should lead a more coordinated international effort to deal with Burma. This effort should recognize that China must play a critical role in any effective approach. The coalition should be as broad as possible—it might include the UN Security Council, Burma’s neighbors, and ASEAN—because China does not want to be seen as giving in to unilateral US pressure.

The US-led coalition’s goal should be to push China (in a way that does not trigger Chinese nationalism and empower the nation’s hard-liners) to respect international human rights norms in its relationship with Burma. This may require high-level diplomatic meetings between key actors on all sides to reassure China that political reform in Burma would not threaten Chinese security.

The US-led coalition should engage Burma’s government, as talking to Burma’s dictators is not an abandonment of US values. Rather, it would represent a more effective method of promoting those values. After all, the ten-year policy of isolation has not alleviated human rights abuse in Burma. Instead, it has strengthened the dictatorship’s hold on power, while cutting off Burma’s people from the rest of the world. A policy of engagement would lead to an increase in economic and social ties between Burma and the West, which would give the West more leverage to promote incremental human rights improvements.

In a promising development, the Obama administration, which called the policy of isolating Burma a failure, has begun engaging with Burma’s political leaders. Through engagement, the administration may be able to gradually bring Burma into the community of responsible nations, which could allow the suffering Burmese people to enjoy increased freedom and prosperity. Such an outcome will depend on the success of US-led efforts to persuade China to exert its great influence over the Burmese dictatorship and to conform to global human rights norms.
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