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“There is no way back, this is our time, the awakening of the indigenous people. We’ll keep
fighting till the end. Brother Evo Morales still has lot’s to do, one cannot think that four years are
enough after 500 years of submission and oppression,” said Fidel Surco, a prominent indigenous
leader, reflecting on Bolivia’s first indigenous president entering his second term (Carroll & Schipani
2009).

The Andean region is particularly appropriate for examining indigenous political rights
because 34-40 million indigenous people reside mostly in this region. The actualization of human
rights for Andean indigenous groups is an inherently complex issue, especially in Bolivia, Ecuador
and Peru, which have similar colonial legacies and have developed along comparable political and
economic trajectories. Indigenous communities need political access in order to fight for their rights
and to hold their governments accountable. The key to the actualization of human rights for
indigenous peoples lies within their greater political inclusion.

The significance of political access for indigenous people’s human rights is threefold. First,
the existence of relatively stable democracies in Bolivia, Ecuador, and Peru present opportunities for
indigenous communities to participate politically through legitimate means. Second, only the
indigenous communities truly know the unique challenges they face and must have political
representation to voice these concerns and to ensure legislative change. Finally, without the specific
knowledge that is held by indigenous peoples, outsiders have few opportunities to appropriately and
accurately represent them. This paper will examine the current status of indigenous peoples in
Bolivia, Ecuador, and Peru; the status of their human rights; and the obstacles that must be
overcome for them to have full political access.

The current status of the Bolivian indigenous as the political minority stands in contrast to
the fact that they are the statistical majority, making up 60 percent of the population (Inter-
American Commission on Human Rights 2009). The 2006 election of Evo Morales was a major
indigenous landmark in Bolivia’s history, as was the new Bolivian Constitution in 2007, which
includes many provisions for indigenous peoples. Indigenous Bolivians have also aligned with a well-
established political party—the Socialist Movement Party (MAS)—which led to the election of
Morales. The MAS party also recently gained the majority in the Chamber of Deputies. Significant
legislation concerning government decentralization reform was also passed supporting the political
rights of the indigenous Bolivians, with the aim of encouraging their political participation. The Law
of Popular Participation was adopted in 1994, leading to the creation of new municipalities (314 in
total), direct election of municipality officials, and a general increase in available resources and power
to these municipalities (Reyes-Garcia 2010). This created many opportunities for the marginalized
indigenous groups to participate in decision-making processes at the local level. Instead of political
majorities dominating at the federal level, the political arena has expanded in a more participative
democratic? democracy-esque way.

Despite progress since the first indigenous mobilization in the 1980s, the need for
improvement remains, as evidenced by the lack of adequate indigenous representation in the
Bolivian legislative bodies, the Chamber of Deputies, and the Chamber of Senators. There are only
seven of 130 seats in the Chamber of Deputies reserved for representatives from indigenous areas, indicating that pro-indigenous rhetoric surrounding increased representation via ethnic quotas "prove hollow" (Schaefer 2009). Though the MAS are supported by most indigenous groups, this support emerged from the lack of political access in the 1990s rather than from the fact that MAS accurately reflects indigenous peoples' views. Furthermore, information about the Law of Popular Participation has yet to reach rural areas; therefore, indigenous peoples are unaware of its existence and the corresponding details that apply to them, such as their available options for participation. Consequently, it is no surprise that indigenous peoples have not yet been elected as municipal officers. Ultimately, indigenous Bolivians now have many codified laws to protect and to encourage their rights, yet the legislation does not accurately reflect the reality of indigenous political access.

Similar to Bolivia, the indigenous of Ecuador have made tremendous strides since their first mobilizations in the 1970s and 1980s. They only comprise 20 percent of the total Ecuadorian population, yet they are considered to be the strongest indigenous group in the Americas (Radcliffe 2007; DeShazo 2007). This is mainly due to the formation of the Confederation of Indigenous Nationalities of Ecuador (CONAIE) in the 1990s. In 1995, CONAIE joined the Movimiento de Unidad Plurinacional Pachakutik, (the Pachakutik party) because of the shared goal of uniting minority voices under a single umbrella political party. The Pachakutik party was remarkably successful in its first legislative election in 1996, winning ten percent of the seats in Ecuador's National Assembly and at one point, nominating a candidate for the presidency (DeShazo 2009; UNHCR 2006).

The success of the Pachakutik was significant yet short-lived. The last elections in April 2009 revealed that most of the larger parties won seats, but the Pachakutik party was not mentioned in the results. Despite the view of CONAIE being one of the most successful indigenous movements in Latin America, much remains to be achieved in terms of their political representation and access to the political arena. For example, Ecuador drafted and passed a new constitution in 1998, including provisions for indigenous political participation, yet this reform has brought "no substantial policy changes" (Schaefer 2009). Also, many indigenous Ecuadorians face discrimination at the polls and the Supreme Electoral Court was reported by the United Nations Human Rights Council as "promoting discriminatory practices against the country's indigenous citizens" (UNHCR 2006). This illustrates that CONAIE is making progress, but remains an inadequate remedy to the issue. The attempt of the indigenous to politically participate via CONAIE reveals a level of freedom that has yet to be realized in Peru.

Peru has a substantial indigenous population, in which 45 percent of the population identifies as Quechua or Aymara (Morrissey 2009). Unfortunately, there has been scarce recognition at the legislative level concerning indigenous people's political rights; this results from the attitude of the Peruvian government, which has adopted an "indigenista" policy aimed at the "elimination of the 'Indian,' deemed backward and illiterate, and his assimilation into an urban and educated society" (Morrissey 2009). The government's racist and discriminatory approach permeates throughout every level of society, especially as the media criminalizes indigenous protestors and portrays them as terrorists. One of the regrettable reasons for blatant rights violations by the government stems from mining disputes; mining accounts for 64 percent of Peru's income and much of the land the government wants to exploit is indigenous land (Poole 2010). Ultimately, the respect for indigenous rights, especially land rights, comes at too high of a cost.

Another obstacle to the mobilization of Peru’s indigenous population is the schism between highland Andean groups and lowland Amazonian peoples. At times they both have united under
various organizational names to discuss issues, yet the Amazonian indigenous groups remain more successful and organized in their solo endeavors. This is partly due to the massive uprising of the Maoist insurgency known as “Shining Path” in the 1980s and 1990s, a group that “actively disdained indigenous ideologies” (Greene 2006). At the same time, the Amazonian indigenous were able to react to Alberto Fujimori’s 1993 Constitution, which annulled the inalienability of indigenous communal land with a petition containing 55,000 signatures (Greene 2006). The Peruvian indigenous must contend with very different issues than their Bolivian and Ecuadorian counterparts and, therefore, have an even more pressing need for political access.

As illustrated above, the indigenous of Bolivia, Ecuador, and Peru have extremely different political statuses; however, they all face the same key impediments to having adequate political representation and access. These obstacles are either developmental or cultural. Developmentally, the indigenous peoples lack physical infrastructure, adequate healthcare, and access to education. Because the majority of the indigenous live in rural and geographically hard-to-reach areas, there needs to be a way for information to reach the indigenous communities; this deficiency is illustrated by the lack of knowledge about the Law of Popular Participation in Bolivia. If the indigenous are not in good health and are illiterate, becoming politically involved becomes nearly impossible. The fact that indigenous has become synonymous with “impoverished” and “poor” ultimately demonstrates the developmental obstacles that have impeded their political participation.

Furthermore, entrenched cultural attitudes toward indigenous peoples have greatly hampered their efforts to become politically involved. In Bolivia, the Ese Ejja fear for their lives outside of their communities and, therefore, refuse to participate politically. The existence of enslavement of the Guarani people in the Chaco region of Bolivia shows that institutions lack the ability to “safeguard” the human rights of this group-- who don’t even realize they have rights at all due to their captivity (IACHR 2009). Discrimination is rampant “in the behavior of public officials at the national and subnational levels and the attitudes of political parties” (IACHR 2009). The Peruvian government’s attitude exemplifies the hegemonic discriminatory views toward indigenous peoples. Unfortunately, this omnipresent issue is not easily remedied; steps can be made through education though, which may alter peoples’ perception of the indigenous-- especially at the non-indigenous level.

In conclusion, the key to actualizing human rights for indigenous peoples lies in their legitimate and successful political participation. Though Bolivia and Ecuador have a longer history of indigenous mobilization and more codified laws concerning indigenous political rights, their full political access is impeded by the same obstacles that face the Peruvian indigenous. The lack of development in these rural areas prevents the indigenous from having the physical infrastructure, education, and healthcare they need to effectively participate in politics. Culturally, the hegemonic discrimination faced by the indigenous even by federal institutions has proven to be extremely problematic. The highly complex nature of this issue suggests it will not be solved in the near future unless drastic economic and cultural changes are made. It is only with perseverance and dedication on the part of the indigenous, with support from the international community, that human rights may finally be realized for this vulnerable population.
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Annotation: The Inter-American Commission of Human Rights of the Organization of American States released this comprehensive report which is accessible, highly informative, and reliable. Section VI, "Rights of Indigenous Peoples and Peasant Communities," and Section X, "Recommendations," were of special interest as they pertain to the subject of this paper. The IACHR found that the Bolivian government is generally moving in the appropriate direction when it comes to realizing and codifying indigenous political, economic, social, and cultural rights. When it comes to political rights though, there are many discriminatory impediments to the indigenous peoples' access to justice, especially when it comes to land and worker's rights. In addition, the government has yet to implement
the terms of the new Bolivian Constitution when it comes to the autonomy of indigenous justice systems and to their relationship with the national justice system. The recommendations included taking steps to eliminate discrimination, to guarantee participation of indigenous groups in land projects, and to create guidelines for "coordinating official justice with community justice." Though these recommendations are certainly appropriate, not much is offered in the way of their implementation.


Annotation: Jackson and Warren have compiled a comprehensive article describing the rise of indigenous movements in Latin America and their subsequent challenges and successes. The article is well-written and seems aimed at an academic audience. They examine many complexities that have resulted from these movements, especially when it comes to ethnic identity, anthropological discourse, and the evolution of the depiction of indigenous peoples by states. The lengthy article concludes with suggestions for future research topics that would shed more light on this complex field. Readers with a political and/or anthropological background would be most able to appreciate this article.


Annotation: Isabella Lepri describes the Ese Eja's perception of "identity," especially in regards to Bolivians and other indigenous groups such as the Tacona. She gives valuable ethnographic information about the Ese Eja and their worldview, juxtaposing their intense fear of being killed by Bolivians with their simultaneous acceptance that "becoming the Other" is their inherent destiny. Lepri uses her field experience to support her argument, lending the article legitimacy and anecdotal evidence that makes for an interesting read for any academic audience.


Annotation: Laura Morrissey succinctly evaluates the rise of indigenous movements in Bolivia, Peru, Ecuador, and Colombia and their common goal of striving to change institutions instead of rejecting them outright. Her analysis of each country is complemented by the inclusion of a brief history of the indigenous political struggle and with subsequent comparisons between each of the countries' indigenous groups. Though Peru's indigenous population is the weakest and least organized in the Andean region, she credits them for the great strides they have made in stopping "invasive development projects and defending their own rights"-- despite an unresponsive government, a problem pervasive in Colombia as well. Morrissey wraps up her informative article with mention of the concept of "el buen vivir," (the good life {ED: I THINK?} which is championed by the indigenous movements and which calls for inclusion, multiculturalism, and respect for the environment.

Annotation: Oquendo evaluates self-determination of indigenous groups in Latin America through their colonial history of "deeds lagging behind words" and "disparity between written law and legal practice" in regards to rights. By mention of other scholars' works he touches upon the struggle of the Mapuche in Chile, a place where the government has accepted the Chilean population to be homogenous, as opposed to a pluralist society of citizens. He recommends a "progressive nationalist" view in which society would be inclusive, respectful of cultural autonomy, and accepting of free movement between cultural communities. His article would benefit from further development of his recommendations. As is, Oquendo's article would be of interest to academics looking for an overview of the subject matter.


Annotation: Deborah Poole eloquently transcribes her interview with Peruvian indigenous leader Mario Palacios for the North American Congress on Latin America's (NACLA) Report on the Americas. Palacios summarizes the Peruvian indigenous struggle, especially concerning access to natural resources. He describes mining as the main source of contention between Amazonian indigenous groups and the Peruvian government. Because mining accounts for 64 percent of Peru's exports, President Alan Garcia is more than reluctant to engage in dialogue with indigenous communities and continues to use the media to criminalize them as violent savages. This is interesting considering Peru ratified the International Labor Organization's Convention [ED: STILL DON'T KNOW WHAT CONVENTION] 15 years ago and has yet to heed its recommendations of consulting with the indigenous before using their land. Finally, Palacios mentions the indigenous goal of "el buen vivir," that is, a political proposal for honesty, egalitarianism, and respect for the environment. Poole's article allows non-Spanish speakers access to the very significant ideals of the Peruvian indigenous groups.


Annotation: Sarah Radcliffe critically examines the effects of neo-liberalism as a post-development approach to the indigenous community in Latin America. She makes a strong case against neo-liberalism, in that it "dismantles corporatist state systems which granted Indians some recognition and representation in decisions over rights" and contributes to the vast economic, political and social inequalities prompting indigenous groups to respond via social mobilization. The article was uplifting and optimistic about the indigenous cause, though very general, which is interesting considering the differences between the political climates of South American states. Radcliffe's article would be of great interest to those in the development field.
Annotation: The various authors of this article produce a compelling and optimistic account of the political participation of indigenous groups in Ecuador. The "re-territorializing" of the area into various municipalities has further enabled indigenous groups to successfully enter the political arena. Some municipalities have indigenous mayors and council representatives, which has led to increased indigenous political participation and the creation of an indigenous political party. Despite these developments, the authors point out that Ecuadorian indigenous peoples still have obstacles to contend with in regards to ethnic and gender discrimination, especially when in contact with the historically-elite white-mestizo class.


Annotation: The multiple authors of this article report on their findings from a case study of the Tsimane indigenous group in the Amazon region of Bolivia. They examine the dissemination of political knowledge in an attempt to find out how much rural indigenous groups know of the decentralization reform expressed in the 1994 Law of Popular Participation. Although it is six years later, they still find that only a small number of Tsimane people knew about the reforms, which municipality they were located in, who their local municipality officials were, and about their options for political participation. The Tsimane live in the lowlands and are less informed than their organized, highland counterparts. They attribute the reason for this to the high "private costs" of the lowland indigenous for obtaining information, geographically inaccessible areas, unavailable broadcasts or broadcasts not in the local language, lower education levels, and low numbers of people involved in the market economy. The article was insightful, though not all audiences may appreciate the statistical overview.


Annotation: Schaefer gives a thorough and helpful background on the rise of political indigenous movements in Ecuador and Bolivia in order to give an appropriate context in which to understand their current status. He argues that these groups are undergoing a historical process of political modernization that began decades ago, as opposed to the view that these groups have mobilized due to recent events. He supports his argument by pointing out that many of these indigenous groups have a culture that values and survives through communal politics and that these norms have finally been translated to a national level. Schaefer also makes an appropriately clear distinction between the values and aims of the Amazonian indigenous versus the Andean indigenous. His arguments are thorough and well-presented, though his tendency towards run-on sentences causes the reader to question at times what he is really trying to convey.

Annotation: Stavenhagen, a U.N. Special Rapporteur, gives an extensive and comprehensive report about the status of the Ecuadorian indigenous. He extensively examines the many aspects of the indigenous people's freedoms, including their access to education, healthcare, land, politics, and justice. Though the indigenous of Ecuador are considered one of the strongest political groups through the Pachakutick movement of the Confederation of Indigenous Nationalities of Ecuador (CONAIE), their situation still leaves much to be desired. Stavenhagen found that the Supreme Electoral Court of Ecuador is "promoting discriminatory practices" at the polls and in other facets of the electoral process. When the indigenous protested against a free trade treaty in 2006, they were met with "acts of repression and police brutality in various parts of the country." Overall the Ecuadorian government is moving in the right direction, but there is still much to be achieved in the interests of the indigenous.


Annotation: The U.S. Department of State is a great resource for general information pertaining to other countries. It gives a comprehensive breakdown of the politics, economy, education, history, and demographics of Bolivia. It is especially helpful when trying to find current information about political leaders, elections, and the demographic breakdown of the Bolivian population. It is extremely accessible and highly recommended, though it would be wise to be aware of the very real possibility of a biased viewpoint.


Annotation: Van Cott examines the great strides Bolivia's "indigenous-movement-based" political parties have made as highlighted by the 2002 elections. She gives a comprehensive background on the indigenous political parties, which have evolved into two prominent groups called the Movimiento Indigena Pachakuti (MIP) and the Instrumento Politico para la Soberania de los Pueblos (IPSP), known by it's affiliation with the Movimiento al Socialismo (MAS). The use of charts helps to exemplify the great feats of these parties who won 27 percent of the vote, thereby increasing indigenous representation in the Chamber of Deputies to unprecedented numbers. Van Cott attributes their success to institutional changes: specifically, the decentralization of the government and the subsequent creation of 314 municipalities each with their own elections, local government, and resources; the weakening of the three strongest political parties; increased organization of indigenous movements backing indigenous political parties; frustration with the "Banzer-Quiroga" government; and anti-U.S. sentiment.

Annotation: Donna Lee Van Cott examines democracies in Latin America in regards to the political inclusion of minority groups. Interestingly, she finds that states which are least ethnically diverse and have the smallest population, such as Colombia, have the most successful and inclusive democracies and usually afford their indigenous populations with sufficient rights. Economics also plays a role in indigenous political access, in that many Latin American governments lack the appropriate financial resources to fund small political parties of ethnic minorities and cannot afford resources to hold themselves accountable in terms of indigenous public policy. Ultimately, Van Cott calls for more pressure from the international community to aid the political mobilization of indigenous groups and for easy access to credible and efficient judiciary systems by which these minorities may protect their rights. This article is intended for an academic audience and is highly informative.


Annotation: The authors of this article give a clear and concise account of the political exclusion of indigenous communities in the Peruvian development process via a case study of the Andean communities' struggle with obtaining necessary access to water. While undertaking the Majes Irrigation Project, the Peruvian government completely disregarded the environmental and cultural affect on these communities. Besides needing water for survival, the communities' cultural traditions centering around water has been documented since the arrival of the Spanish in the region. Even when the indigenous groups attempt to remedy the need for adequate water through legitimate political means, they are met with corruption, favoritism, and misuse of funds by their mayor, which ultimately led to a weakly constructed canal needing frequent repairs. The authors place this case in the wider context of developers viewing anything not modern as the unfavorable "other," backwards, and not worthy of consideration.