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Introduction

The fight for human rights in Myanmar goes back to its independence in 1948. The Myanmar military (\textit{tatmadaw}) has engaged in shocking violations of almost every right in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The issue of forced labor, however, is of particular concern. Forced labor is employed primarily in development projects, agricultural enterprises, and the military. It is used to impose collective punishment on civilians, to build highly profitable development that strengthens military rule, and to allow the military access and logistical support in the most remote regions of insurgent-occupied territories. Forced labor is a central means by which the \textit{tatmadaw} attempts to control all facets of Burmese society.

Background

Prior to Myanmar's independence, the British had full administrative control over the regions around Yangon (Myanmar's present capital city). The Northern hill tribes, as well as other parts of the border regions, contained ethnic minorities to whom the British Raj granted autonomous rule. At the time of independence, it was a formidable task to unite the two Burmas, and as a response to this problem, authoritarian roots took hold. Some ethnic minorities in the border regions of Burma still continue their insurgency. The country has made fifteen cease-fire agreements with ethnic minority insurgency groups, but by no means have they eliminated the insurgency.

After independence, Burma pursued the “Burmese way to Socialism,” which was essentially a failed attempt to create a socialist economic policy platform. The discontent that resulted from these socialist endeavors culminated in the slaughter of some three thousand individuals, when mass demonstrations engulfed the nation on August 8, 1988. Consequentially, Burma opened up the economy to foreign investment, most notably in tourism, the exploitation of natural gas, and other foreign-backed development initiatives.

Politically, the State Law and Order Restoration Council (SLORC, now renamed as the State Peace and Development Council, SPDC) held elections in 1990, where the National League for Democracy (NLD) won over eighty percent of the votes. However, SLORC has refused to turn over power to the NLD. Instead, it has stated that the National Convention would first need to draft a constitution before the newly elected parliament could convene. Myanmar has been politically stagnant at this point ever since.

Forced Labor in Development Projects

International bodies and non-governmental organizations (NGOs) have documented forced labor in development projects dealing with a wide array of development initiatives. Arguably, the most unreported use of forced labor has been on the Asian Highway, sponsored by the United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (ESCAP). The Highway is an
ambitious venture that is intended to promote the development of international road transport within the region by linking 32 Asian nations via a 141,000-kilometer network of roads.

In a grave breach of international law, the Myanmar government, along with its proxy armies, has forcibly conscripted local villagers to build part of the highway that crosses into Myanmar’s Karen state, as well to dig drainage ditches that run alongside the road. During construction, agricultural land has been confiscated or severely damaged, forcing entire villages into poverty. Many people have been forced to relocate and to seek refuge from future atrocities, frequently in Burma’s dense jungles. Not only is the construction of roads conducive to fostering trade and providing income for military rule; it also allows the military to retain control of civilian populations.

The highway project has been funded by a combination of international donors and member states, in addition to receiving implicit funding from the Asia Development Bank (ADB). NGOs such as Earth Rights International have called upon the ADB to cease all forms of funding or logistical support of projects that are fueling human rights violations across Myanmar; yet such calls have failed to stop the funding. To date, the U.N. humanitarian coordinator in Burma, along with ESCAP's transport and tourism director, have continued to deny any allegations of forced labor along the Asian Highway.

The most notorious case of forced labor has taken place during the construction of the Yadana gas pipeline, via a joint venture between France’s Total and the United States’ Unocal (now Chevron). Because the $1.2 billion pipeline stretches through insurgent-occupied territory, the government of Myanmar agreed to provide security for Unocal officials. In 1990, the tatmadaw began to force the civilian population to provide the preliminary preparation for the pipeline’s construction, and marked civilians to provide the labor for the project. Thousands of villagers were forced to cut down trees, dig out stumps, and build barracks and helipads, or risk fatal consequences. Those villagers who refused, attempted to escape, or could not physically manage to sustain the brutal conditions, were subject to beatings, rape, torture, and extra-judicial killings. Even more alarming has been the detailed documentation by several NGOs that entire villages have been razed and/or its inhabitants forcibly relocated in order to make way for construction along the thirty-nine mile stretch of the pipeline.

Military Portering

Another prevalent source of forced labor has been in the form of military porters, as government troops have continued to use members of the civilian population as porters for the military. Men, women, children, and the physically disabled continue to carry large loads of equipment, serve as messengers and guides into hostile enemy territory, assist in setting up military camps, participate in de-mining operations, crop cultivation on military-owned land, and provide food and supplies, with no form of compensation.

There is a positive correlation between development zones and the militarization of these regions. Oftentimes, the SPDC will erect new camps or military outposts along development zones, claiming that such zones must be “protected” from sabotage by ethnic insurgents. Flowing from these policies, villagers residing in development zones become easy targets for forced labor policies.
Such policies also serve as collective punishment and intimidation in ethnic minority regions, which are oftentimes thought to be hotbeds for anti-government activity.

Consequentially, the increased militarization of these zones substantially increases the reach of the military into areas that were once off-limits to government forces. Additionally, the sustained presence of the military often means that civilians will be forcibly conscripted to act as military porters with no compensation, giving them little to no time to take part in paid work, or to tend to their crops. What often ensues, then, are food shortages, sharp declines in health, and humanitarian catastrophes. Such gross and systematic violations arguably amount to crimes against humanity.

**Agriculture and Forced Labor**

In recent years, Thailand has been pushing for greater trade relations amongst its neighbors. In the process, it has established export-minded special economic zones (SEZ) along the Thai-Burma border. In May of 2007, the two states finalized agreements that would allow Thai agribusinesses to engage in large-scale, tax-free cultivation of Myanmar’s ethnically inhabited border regions via investments from China, Thailand, and behind-the-scenes backing from the ADB.

NGOs like the Karen Human Rights Group have documented the human costs of such agri-development projects, most notably forced evictions from targeted cultivation sites, forced labor to construct access roads and harvesting crops, and the use of extortion to force villagers to hand over money which is then used to subsidize the projects themselves. In 2006, the Karen Human Rights Group reported that ethnic Shan farmers along the China-Myanmar border had protested an increase in land confiscations, most notably a 15,000-hectare region for a contract rubber cultivation zone.

Ethnic farmers have also been forced to purchase hybrid rice seeds (*sinn shewli*) from the SPDC and its proxy armies, and from Chinese businesses, in yet another *tatmadaw* backed initiative, to convert opium fields into rice. This Chinese-funded project has set the stage for Chinese corporations to command the rice production in the Shan ethnic state, which now accounts for over forty percent of rice cultivation within the state. The rice arguably has a better yield, but requires substantially more water, fertilizers, pesticides, and mechanized farming equipment, all of which are nearly non-existent amongst these populations. While the SPDC has maintained that the seeds are free to farmers, human rights monitors argue that farmers are forced to pay for the seeds, which must be bought annually (unlike traditional rice seeds). With the costs for fertilizers and related costs, it has pushed many into debt, where the only means of financial freedom is to sell off the land, or watch it be confiscated forcibly.

**Conclusion**

The use of forced labor in development zones, military, and agriculture serves only to empower the rule of the *tatmadaw*, economically and politically. Due to the courageous initiatives of human rights activists, accountability for forced labor has been met with some success. Earth Rights International, on behalf of Burmese villagers, filed suit against Unocal for its complicity in the use of
forced labor under the U.S. Alien Tort Claims Act. Unocal eventually settled out of court, but the lawsuit nevertheless set a precedent for future violators. However, formidable challenges remain.

The developing economies of China and India stimulate great appetites for Myanmar’s raw resources, most importantly its gas. China has often blocked any meaningful United Nations Security Council resolutions against the tyrannical regime, in order to defend its own economic interests. The International Labor Organization (ILO) has been actively seeking to engage Myanmar, but progress is questionable. In early 2007, the ILO and Myanmar signed an agreement that would have provided victims of forced labor with a mechanism to file complaints. Yet instead of victims’ grievances being investigated, they have been met with intimidation and threats by the authorities.

Combating forced labor in Myanmar will require altering the elements by which it is fueled, namely the economic underpinnings that support military rule. It will also require the international community to force Myanmar to remove its veil, and to become transparent as justice is brought to victims. Lastly, strengthening the prosecution of those foreign companies operating in Myanmar and those violating international human rights can, and has, proven to be a deterrent. Finding a way to fund development without exacerbating the human rights situation will be an initiative that major U.N. bodies and affiliated agencies will have to recalculate if current human rights violations are to subside.
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Annotation: This is another comprehensive report that documents all aspects of forced labor issues in Myanmar through the government-in-exile. The report documents serious cases of forced labor due to the conscription of military porters, forced labor in development projects, and forced convict labor. It also provides a detailed list of forced labor victims and their villages, Myanmar’s negotiations with the International Labor Organization, and forced labor incidents within each state.


Annotation: The Irrawaddy reports that the new capital city of Naypyidaw has been partly built on the backs of local villagers, without pay or compensation. Since construction first started, some 2,800 alleged victims have filed formal complaints to the International Labor Organization for acts of forced labor. Laborers were largely responsible for building military camps for three army battalions and an air force battalion. Additionally, villages were forced to provide roofing and construction materials for the projects. The International Labor Organization is fearful that victims will be persecuted in retaliation for filing the complaints.


Annotation: The chapter on Myanmar, presented by Prascilla Clapp, briefly describes the incidents of forced labor in Burma. Forced labor is mainly used by the local military authority to expand their reach into dense jungle locations, to porter military supplies, and to provide other military services. Nonetheless, in 2005, several local military officers were charged with violations of forced labor and were sentenced to sixteen months in jail. This is the only known example accountability for forced labor practices in Myanmar.
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Annotation: Soros provides a general overview of the human rights conditions in Myanmar. Specifically, the article notes the violations of forced labor in ethnic minority regions where
forced labor persists in the form of military pottering, serving as a policy-like tactic to discourage ethnic insurgencies. It is also prevalent in the southeast regions, where UNOCAL and Total and building their pipelines. The synopsis also addresses the International Labor Organization’s attempts to work with the authorities, and acknowledges Burma’s lack of sincere cooperation in dealing with the matter. The website has live links to a host of other organizations working on human rights in Burma.
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Annotation: After six years of no progress in talks between the International Labor Organization (ILO) and the government of Burma, the ILO in 2006 prepared to submit the case over to the U.N. Security Council. There, the Security Council could decide to send the case to the jurisdiction of the International Criminal Court (ICC) as well as the International Court of Justice. If sent to the ICC, the issue of forced labor could be tried under the ICC’s jurisdiction over crimes against humanity and war crimes.