SURVEY OF FACULTY OPINION ABOUT PERFORMANCE EVALUATIONS AND JOB RESPONSIBILITIES

SELECTED RESULTS for both TENURE LINE AND NON-TENURE LINE Faculty

Selected Results for TENURE LINE Faculty

(N = 264)

One reason faculty are reviewed is to assess annual performance and determine merit raises. The following set of questions pertains to your experience with annual performance reviews used to determine merit raises.

- 1. I believe this is a fair process for evaluating performance.
 - 8% Not at all fair
 - 20% Somewhat fair
 - 14% Neither fair nor unfair
 - 40% Fair
 - 19% Very fair
- 2. This performance review process takes into account all important faculty contributions.
 - 10% Strongly Disagree
 - 19% Disagree
 - 15% Neither Agree nor Disagree
 - 41% Agree
 - 15% Strongly Agree

Traditional performance evaluation of tenure track and tenured faculty is 40% research, scholarship, and creative activities; 40% teaching; and 20% service. The following questions pertain to your experiences with and opinions of this type of evaluation.

- 3. I am evaluated according to this formula.
 - 9% Strongly Disagree
 - 25% Disagree
 - 19% Neither Agree nor Disagree
 - 37% Agree
 - 10% Strongly Agree
- 4. This formula is an appropriate way to evaluate faculty pre-tenure.
 - 4% Strongly Disagree
 - 16% Disagree

- 20% Neither Agree nor Disagree
- 48% Agree
- 12% Strongly Agree
- 5. This formula is an appropriate way to evaluate faculty post-tenure.
 - 7% Strongly Disagree
 - 23% Disagree
 - 24% Neither Agree nor Disagree
 - 33% Agree
 - 12% Strongly Agree
- 6. I would like the option to negotiate a shift in allocation of job responsibilities (from a traditional 40% research, scholarship, and creative activities; 40% teaching; and 20% service) post tenure.
 - 4% Strongly Disagree
 - 14% Disagree
 - 19% Neither Agree nor Disagree
 - 39% Agree
 - 24% Strongly Agree

Some universities conduct developmental reviews where faculty receive professional development support over their career lifespan. The following questions will help us assess current developmental review practices at DU and gauge interest in modifying such practices.

- 7. What type of professional development reviews have you received? (Check all that apply)
 - 30% Teaching
 - 29% Research, Scholarship, and Creative Activities
 - 16% Internal Funding
 - 14% External Funding
 - 6% Career Path Consultation
 - 17% Other
- 8. I would like to receive direct professional development feedback not associated with pay, promotion, or contract.
 - 7% Strongly Disagree
 - 12% Disagree
 - 28% Neither Agree nor Disagree
 - 40% Agree
 - 13% Strongly Agree
- 9. In general, professional development opportunities that already exist at DU are effective in promoting high quality faculty work.
 - 5% Strongly Disagree
 - 25% Disagree

- 48% Neither Agree nor Disagree
- 19% Agree
- 3% Strongly Agree
- 10. What motivates you, or could motivate you, to continue your professional development? (Check all that apply)
 - 49% Expectation of tangible reward
 - 53% Financial support for developmental activities
 - 74% Increased time to devote to what I believe I do best
 - 35% Greater feeling that I belong to a community of colleagues at DU
 - 26% Opportunity to forge deeper connections with students
 - 39% Evidence that such development would enable me to do a better job
 - 37% Tools that would help me be more efficient at my job (e.g., grading papers, submitting publications)
 - 24% Being mentored
 - 8% Other

One reason faculty members might be evaluated is to identify unsatisfactory performance and determine whether remedial measures are necessary. The following questions pertain to evaluations related to remedial measures.

- 11. I think faculty should be reviewed for this purpose.
 - 4% Strongly Disagree
 - 9% Disagree
 - 17% Neither Agree nor Disagree
 - 48% Agree
 - 22% Strongly Agree
- 12. What should be the potential consequence for unsatisfactory performance in your academic unit? (Check all that apply)
 - 67% Mentoring/coaching
 - 61% Negotiated development plan
 - 50% Negotiated development timeline
 - 53% Negotiated change in allocation of duties in current post (e.g., teaching, research/creation)
 - 38% Negotiated change of responsibilities within the university
 - 46% Lower or nonexistent pay raises
 - 45% In rare cases of chronic and remedied deficiencies: sterner measures.
 - 9% Other

The following items refer to your experience at DU.

- 13. I feel a sense of belonging in my academic unit.
 - 7% Strongly Disagree
 - 12% Disagree

- 11% Neither Agree nor Disagree
- 40% Agree
- 30% Strongly Agree
- 14. I feel a sense of belonging at DU.
 - 7% Strongly Disagree
 - 13% Disagree
 - 21% Neither Agree nor Disagree
 - 42% Agree
 - 18% Strongly Agree
- 15. I feel valued for the ways that I contribute to the university.
 - 10% Strongly Disagree
 - 18% Disagree
 - 20% Neither Agree nor Disagree
 - 37% Agree
 - 16% Strongly Agree
- 16. What is your college, school, or division?
 - 4% Daniel Felix Ritchie School of Engineering and Computer Science
 - 10% Daniels College of Business
 - 35% Divisions of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences
 - 16% Divisions of Natural Sciences and Mathematics
 - 6% Josef Korbel School of International Studies
 - 2% Graduate School of Professional Psychology (GSPP)
 - 5% Graduate School of Social Work (GSSW)
 - 5% Morgridge College of Education
 - 3% The Sturm College of Law
 - 1% Other
 - 13% Choose not to report

Selected Results for NON-TENURE LINE Faculty

(N = 103)

One reason faculty are reviewed is to assess annual performance and determine merit raises. The following set of questions pertains to your experience with annual performance reviews used to determine merit raises.

- 1. I believe this is a fair process for evaluating performance.
 - 8% Not at all fair
 - 15% Somewhat fair
 - 17% Neither fair nor unfair
 - 54% Fair
 - 6% Very fair

- 2. This performance review process takes into account all important faculty contributions.
 - 5% Strongly Disagree
 - 19% Disagree
 - 23% Neither Agree nor Disagree
 - 38% Agree
 - 15% Strongly Agree

Non-tenure-line faculty members are often evaluated with regard to their contract renewal. The following questions pertain to the criteria for reviewing non-tenure-line faculty members.

- 3. I am aware of these criteria.
 - 7% Strongly Disagree
 - 11% Disagree
 - 17% Neither Agree nor Disagree
 - 51% Agree
 - 14% Strongly Agree
- 4. I believe these criteria are fair.
 - 4% Strongly Disagree
 - 12% Disagree
 - 31% Neither Agree nor Disagree
 - 50% Agree
 - 4% Strongly Agree
- 5. I believe these criteria promote high quality work.
 - 10% Strongly Disagree
 - 16% Disagree
 - 34% Neither Agree nor Disagree
 - 36% Agree
 - 5% Strongly Agree
- 6. I receive adequate support to meet these criteria.
 - 6% Strongly Disagree
 - 14% Disagree
 - 26% Neither Agree nor Disagree
 - 42% Agree
 - 13% Strongly Agree

Some universities conduct developmental reviews where faculty receive professional development support over their career lifespan. The following questions will help us assess current developmental review practices at DU and gauge interest in modifying such practices.

- 7. What type of professional development reviews have you received? (Check all that apply)
 - 50% Teaching
 - 20% Research, Scholarship, and Creative Activities
 - 10% Internal Funding
 - 1% External Funding
 - 7% Career Path Consultation
 - 18% Other
- 8. I would like to receive direct professional development feedback not associated with pay, promotion, or contract.
 - 2% Strongly Disagree
 - 4% Disagree
 - 23% Neither Agree nor Disagree
 - 53% Agree
 - 17% Strongly Agree
- 9. In general, professional development opportunities that already exist at DU are effective in promoting high quality faculty work.
 - 2% Strongly Disagree
 - 15% Disagree
 - 43% Neither Agree nor Disagree
 - 38% Agree
 - 2% Strongly Agree
- 10. What motivates you, or could motivate you, to continue your professional development? (Check all that apply)
 - 47% Expectation of tangible reward
 - 59% Financial support for developmental activities
 - 54% Increased time to devote to what I believe I do best
 - 52% Greater feeling that I belong to a community of colleagues at DU
 - 42% Opportunity to forge deeper connections with students
 - 44% Evidence that such development would enable me to do a better job
 - 47% Tools that would help me be more efficient at my job (e.g., grading papers, submitting publications)
 - 23% Being mentored
 - 8% Other

One reason faculty members might be evaluated is to identify unsatisfactory performance and determine whether remedial measures are necessary. The following questions pertain to evaluations related to remedial measures.

- 11. I think faculty should be reviewed for this purpose.
 - 1% Strongly Disagree
 - 2% Disagree

- 13% Neither Agree nor Disagree
- 50% Agree
- 34% Strongly Agree
- 12. What should be the potential consequence for unsatisfactory performance in your academic unit? (Check all that apply)
 - 73% Mentoring/coaching
 - 75% Negotiated development plan
 - 64% Negotiated development timeline
 - 40% Negotiated change in allocation of duties in current post (e.g., teaching, research/creation)
 - 33% Negotiated change of responsibilities within the university
 - 38% Lower or nonexistent pay raises
 - 56% In rare cases of chronic and remedied deficiencies: sterner measures.
 - 8% Other

The following items refer to your experience at DU.

- 13. I feel a sense of belonging in my academic unit.
 - 4% Strongly Disagree
 - 7% Disagree
 - 12% Neither Agree nor Disagree
 - 48% Agree
 - 28% Strongly Agree
- 14. I feel a sense of belonging at DU.
 - 2% Strongly Disagree
 - 14% Disagree
 - 16% Neither Agree nor Disagree
 - 52% Agree
 - 16% Strongly Agree
- 15. I feel valued for the ways that I contribute to the university.
 - 3% Strongly Disagree
 - 14% Disagree
 - 22% Neither Agree nor Disagree
 - 52% Agree
 - 10% Strongly Agree
- 16. What is your college, school, or division?
 - 4% Daniel Felix Ritchie School of Engineering and Computer Science
 - 12% Daniels College of Business
 - 16% Divisions of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences
 - 9% Divisions of Natural Sciences and Mathematics
 - 4% Josef Korbel School of International Studies

- 2% Graduate School of Professional Psychology (GSPP)
 7% Graduate School of Social Work (GSSW)
 5% Morgridge College of Education
 3% The Sturm College of Law

- 19% Other
- 18% Choose not to report